
CHI North Dakota Violence Prevention Program:
Activity Evaluation for FY 2021
Summary Results for FY 2016 to FY 2021

Prepared for: Veronica Zietz, Director
Catholic Health Initiatives
ND Violence Prevention Program
St. Alexius Health
900 East Broadway
Bismarck, ND 58501

Nancy Vogeltanz-Holm, Ph.D.
Director, Community Health Solutions LLC
Professor Emeritus, UND School of Medicine & Health Sciences
2810 Belmont Road
Grand Forks, ND 58201
701.739.2986
nancy.vogeltanz@commhealth.net

July 5, 2021

Community Health Solutions LLC
Working Together for Healthier Communities

The CHI North Dakota Violence Prevention Program (NDVPP)

Several public and private health and community organizations in North Dakota are working to prevent intimate partner violence (IPV) and to reduce the associated harms. IPV includes physical and sexual violence, stalking, and psychological aggression by a current or former intimate partner. IPV is a subset of domestic violence which excludes violence between non-partnered family members including harms to children and parents.

This report details outcomes from the Catholic Health Initiatives (CHI) Mission and Ministry funded North Dakota Violence Prevention Program (NDVPP). The NDVPP, which began in 2015, is focused on the work of 8 North Dakota communities:

- Bismarck
- Carrington
- Devils Lake
- Dickinson
- Lisbon
- Oakes
- Valley City
- Williston



The goals of the NDVPP include the following:

- Building community capacity for intimate partner violence prevention by networking and partnering with health, legal, educational, social, and community agencies and groups. The NDVPP also provides an opportunity for partners to receive knowledge and skills development at an annual IPV Prevention Summit and Professional Trainings.
- Building capacity for prevention by training professionals and organizations in many fields to incorporate intimate partner violence prevention into their existing work. This occurs by training Community Outreach (CO) Leaders who then provide IPV prevention training to other professionals.
- Educating individuals at-risk of IPV using evidence-based curricula. This occurs by training Healthy Relationship (HR) Facilitators who then provide healthy relationship knowledge and skill development opportunities to at-risk individuals. The program primarily use *Within My Reach* (WMR)—an evidence-based

relationship education curriculum developed by PREP, Inc. (Prevention and Relationship Enhancement Program).

Successfully meeting the goals of the NDVPP should result in the following short- and long-term outcomes in North Dakota program communities:

- (1) Increased IPV prevention skills development in professionals who may assist victims of IPV.
- (2) Increased healthy relationships knowledge and IPV prevention skills in potential victims of IPV.
- (3) Increased awareness and recognition of IPV in the general population.
- (4) Decreased IPV victimization rates.

Since NDVPP began in 2015, annual evaluation reports on activities and learning outcomes have clearly demonstrated substantial increases in professional networks capacity-building, professional skills development, and outreach to potential victims of IPV. These annual reports are available at the program website (www.chistalexiushealth.org/about-us/nd-violence-prevention-program).

Current Crime Rates of IPV Against Women in 8 North Dakota Communities

North Dakota statute defines “domestic violence” as “physical harm, bodily injury, sexual activity compelled by physical force, assault, or the infliction of fear of imminent physical harm, bodily injury, sexual activity compelled by physical force, or assault, not committed in self-defense, on the complaining family or household members” (North Dakota Century Code 14-07.1). Definitional categories of intimate partners used by national and state crime reporting agencies include spouse, ex-spouse, common-law spouse, boyfriend/girlfriend, or partners of the same gender.

Table 1 shows North Dakota 2016-2020 reported crime rates per 1,000 adult (≥ 18 years) female victims of “domestic incidents” involving an intimate partner in each of the NDVPP’s eight communities. North Dakota law enforcement agencies, including all agencies in the 8 NDVPP communities, use the National Incident-based Reporting System (NIBRS) for reporting crime to the state and to the U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation (North Dakota Attorney General’s Office, 2018). Reported IPV victimization rates are presented for women only because women comprise the majority (> 75%) of IPV victims. It is estimated that reported crime rates of IPV in the United States account for less than 50% of all nonfatal IPV (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2017; accessed at <https://www.bjs.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=6466>).

Table 1

Number of Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) Victimizations in Women (≥ 18 years) per 1,000 women in 8 North Dakota communities, 2016-2020, North Dakota National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS).

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Jurisdiction	Victimization Rate	Victimization Rate	Victimization Rate	Victimization Rate	Victimization Rate
North Dakota	8.6	8.5	8.9	9.1	9.7
Bismarck Police Department	12.1	11.3	11.0	11.0	12.7
Carrington Police Department	3.5	0	0	1.1	2.4
Devils Lake Police Department	12.7	16.2	17.8	12.4	14.4
Dickinson Police Department	10.0	10.2	11.4	9.9	11.6
Lisbon Police Department	10.1	5.1	2.4	10.7	4.7
Oakes Police Department	3.7	5.1	2.6	2.6	3.3
Valley City Police Department	11.9	8.1	8.3	8.2	14.0
Williston Police Department	10.4	13.7	16.8	19.3	18.3

See Appendix A for Table 1 data extraction and rate calculation methodology.

NDVPP Summit and CO Leaders' Training: Learning Outcomes, FY 2020 & FY2021

The 2020 IPV Prevention Summit and Community Outreach (CO) Leaders Training occurred in August 2020. Due to COVID-19 restrictions, the 2020 Summit was delayed from April and was provided via Zoom and online modules. Four sessions focused on domestic violence, adolescent relationship abuse, and domestic violence prevention strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic. As shown in Table 2, the percentage of attendees who completed evaluations (N=42) and reported increasing their knowledge and/or skills "a great deal" ranged from 43%-76%, depending on the session and area of inquiry. Knowledge/skills increases were highest for the two sessions focused on addressing domestic violence during COVID.

The 2021 IPV Prevention Summit occurred on June 10, 2021. Dr. Alan Berkowitz presented via Zoom a workshop focused on using the Social Norms approach for violence prevention. As shown in Table 3, the percentage of attendees who completed an 11-item evaluation (N=44) and reported increasing their knowledge and/or skills "a great deal" ranged from 39%-68%, depending on the area of inquiry.

Within My Reach (WMR) Facilitator Training, FY 2021

During FY 2021, 11 Healthy Relationship (HR) Facilitators participated in *Within My Reach* (WMR) facilitator trainings in August 2020, January 2021 and February 2021. Participation for the three-day trainings occurred via Zoom. Evaluations from the August 2020 training (N=8) indicated that the majority of participants who completed the training

strongly agreed or agreed that they felt comfortable in using the curriculum within their communities. Since the NDVPP began in 2015, 59 Healthy Relationship Facilitators have been trained to administer WMR workshops.

NDVPP Learning Activities, FY 2021

In FY 2021, NDVPP Healthy Relationships (HR) Facilitators and Community Outreach (CO) Leaders conducted 87 HR workshops and 34 CO workshops resulting in 2,287 attendances by healthcare professionals, educators, students attending nursing and social work programs, middle and high-school students, and diverse community members. Due to COVID-19 restrictions, FY21 attendees predominately participated through online sessions, conducted both live via Zoom or by accessing online sessions at the attendees' convenience. HR workshops included various units of the PREP, Inc.'s *Within My Reach* (WMR) curriculum and the Hazelden Foundation's *Safe Dates* curriculum.

CO Leaders provided workshops featuring content from Futures Without Violence and the North Dakota Council on Abused Women's Services (CAWS). CO workshops were provided predominately to health and educational professionals and professionals-in-training. Workshops focused on increasing awareness of and responses to IPV and human trafficking; and elevating professional groups' knowledge, screening, and referral skills for responding to IPV in adults and adolescents.

Learning Outcomes for Healthy Relationships (HR) Workshops

As shown in Table 4, 304 participants completed a 9-item survey to assess their FY 2021 WMR learning outcomes. The majority (62%-78%) reported they had increased their healthy relationships knowledge and skills "a great deal," in all areas assessed. FY 2021 WMR learning outcomes were higher on all 9 survey items compared with average outcomes for the previous years (FY 2016-FY 2020), indicating the continued progress in the WMR program and facilitators' effectiveness.

In addition to the WMR workshops, 36 students participated in a HR workshop that focused on preventing teen dating violence (*Safe Dates* curriculum). Table 5 details learning outcomes for the *Safe Dates* participants for FY 2021 and in comparison with outcomes for FY 2019 and FY 2020. Of the 10 areas assessed at pre- and post-learning, the highest increase in knowledge was for learning that individuals' responses to anger are controllable.

Learning Outcomes for Community Outreach (CO) Workshops

IPV: Universal Education and the Safety Card and Adolescent Relationship Abuse CO workshops focused on increasing IPV knowledge and skills for IPV screening using a "safety card" and providing sensitive ("warm") referrals for

assistance. The Safety Card workshops are central components of the NDVPP and are tailored toward educating helping professionals on how to assist adults and adolescents who may be experiencing IPV and its consequences. As shown in Table 6 and Table 7, a majority of Safety Card workshop participants who completed a seven-item survey assessing their learning outcomes reported increases in their awareness and knowledge as “a great deal.” Several of the participants indicated they did not currently work in health or educational settings and therefore reported a lower likelihood of implementing IPV screening and referral strategies. A majority of the FY 2021 Safety Card workshops were administered online and evaluations of learning outcomes overall were lower in FY 2021 compared to FY 2016-FY 2020.

The CO Awareness workshops focused on increasing knowledge of IPV, the impacts of experiencing IPV, and local resources for IPV victims. The Awareness workshops are geared toward professionals who do not provide direct services. Table 8 reports learning outcomes for community and health care professionals attending an IPV Community Awareness workshop. Participants (N=27) completed a six-item survey that assessed their increases in IPV knowledge and confidence in responding effectively to an IPV victim. The highest ratings were for evaluation items assessing increases in overall knowledge of IPV (89% reporting “a great deal”) and for knowledge of IPV prevention and support resources and service providers (89%). Most evaluation items were rated higher in FY 2021 workshops compared to FY 2016-FY 2020 workshops. Learning outcomes for an additional Community Outreach Workshop that focused on responses to IPV in the workplace are not presented due to the low number of persons attending (N=12) and providing an evaluation (N=7).

Evaluation of learning outcomes for new workshops conducted in FY 2021 included a workshop on community responses to human trafficking and exploitation (Table 9) and two workshops conducted by the Council of Abused Women Services (CAWS). The CAWS-sponsored workshops focused on increasing awareness of how stigma affects help-seeking (Table 10) and the importance of increasing medical advocacy for IPV prevention and support (Table 11). All of the new workshops for FY 2021 were administered via Zoom.

Although evaluations were not collected, 784 professionals received training on intimate partner violence and best practices for IPV screening and intervention in a health care setting via CommonSpirit Health’s online learning management systems *My Journey* and *Knowledge Hub*. Content was similar to that covered in IPV: Universal Education & The Safety Card workshops, but was made available to through an on demand self-paced learning module. This course is available to over 150,000 employees and 25,000 physicians working at CommonSpirit Health facilities in 21 states.

In summary, participants attending NDVPP CO Workshops in FY 2021 reported substantial increases in their IPV knowledge, skills, and likelihood for assisting potential IPV victims; participants attending NDVPP HR Workshops in FY 2021 also saw improvements in their knowledge, skills, abilities related to healthy relationships (see the Appendix for FY 2021 workshop outcomes attributed to each of the eight NDVPP communities). Consistent with previous years' workshops, attendees indicated that active discussions and hearing IPV survivor stories were especially interesting and helpful components. Although each workshop is time-limited, participants indicated areas for improvement would be to have more time and more opportunities for interacting and role playing. Based on evaluation responses, Zoom-administered sessions appeared to provide equal learning outcomes compared to in-person sessions. Despite the difficulties in FY 21 brought about by COVID-19 restrictions, CO Leaders and HR Facilitators continued to provide considerable outreach within their communities for increasing IPV awareness, knowledge, and skills for reducing IPV and its effects.

Appendix A

Notes on IPV victimization extraction and rate calculation methodology:

1. This data does not include IPV incidents reported to tribal law enforcement agencies. ND NIBRS 2020 data reported here was accessed in June 2021. North Dakota total number of IPV victimizations in women may change from year to year as records are updated.
2. The extraction methodology from North Dakota's Incident-based Reporting System was the following: Select "crimes against persons" involving intimate partner relationships (spouse, ex-spouse, common-law spouse, boyfriend/girlfriend, same gender partners) and involving victims who were adult women (ages 18 and older). IPV crime categories include physical and sexual assaults, intimidation, and stalking. The majority of reported IPV incidents were from simple and aggravated assaults.
3. Victimization rates were calculated by dividing the annual number of reported adult female victimizations involving an intimate partner by the number of adult women in the community per the U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) of 5-Year Estimates (<https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs>) with the exception of the most recent year (2020) which is not yet available from the ACS. 2020 adult women population estimates were obtained by multiplying overall population estimates from the U.S. Census Population Estimates Program (<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/programs.xhtml?program=pep>) by the percentage of the population that was 18 years or older from the American Community Survey's 2019 estimates and then multiplying that product by the percentage of the adult population that was female based on the ACS's 2019 estimates. Estimated census data are recalculated each year following updated estimates by the ACS.
4. Comparisons (rankings) across jurisdictions should be avoided according to the FBI, citing substantial differences in the way local law enforcement agencies respond to and record IPV incidents. For example, the percentage of police department "calls for service" coded as potential domestic violence that resulted in a recorded incidence of IPV varied considerably across our 8 North Dakota communities.

Appendix B

Table 2

Learning Outcomes for the 2020 IPV Prevention Summit & Training, Sessions 1-4, August 2020**Introduction to Domestic Violence (Session 1, Online)**

To what extent did this training increase your knowledge and/or skills about: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=42	Average (Mean) Rating N=42	
The dynamics of domestic violence?	43%	2.21	
The health impacts of domestic violence?	55%	2.45	
The services that domestic violence advocacy programs provide?	48%	2.33	
	Yes	Somewhat	No
Was the information in this session presented clearly?	98%	2%	0%

Introduction to Adolescent Relationship Abuse (Session 2, Online)

To what extent did this training increase your knowledge and/or skills about: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=43	Average (Mean) Rating N=43	
The definition of adolescent relationship abuse?	58%	2.42	
Using the Hanging Out or Hooking Up safety card to talk with adolescents about relationship safety?	60%	2.56	
Identifying next steps for training, skill building and support?	56%	2.49	
	Yes	Somewhat	No
Was the information in this session presented clearly?	98%	2%	0%

Addressing Domestic Violence During COVID Part I: Virtual CUES (Session 3, Online)

To what extent did this training increase your knowledge and/or skills about: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=47	Average (Mean) Rating N=47	
The ways COVID impacts domestic violence?	70%	2.64	
The elements of virtual CUES?	64%	2.57	
	Yes	Somewhat	No
Was the information in this session presented clearly?	96%	4%	0%

Addressing Domestic Violence During COVID Part II (Session 4, Live 8/25/20)

To what extent did this training increase your knowledge and/or skills about: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=29	Average (Mean) Rating N=29	
Ways to implement the CUES intervention remotely?	62%	2.54	
Ways to discuss barriers and opportunities for addressing domestic violence during COVID?	76%	2.79	
Next steps and potential partners for creating or strengthening the health response to domestic violence?	59%	2.61	
	Yes	Somewhat	No
Was the information in this session presented clearly?	93%	7%	0%

Table 3
Learning Outcomes for the 2021 IPV Prevention Summit, June 2021

To what extent did this training increase your knowledge and/or skills about: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=44		Average (Mean) Rating N=44
Elements, assumptions, and models of common prevention program strategies?	50%		2.43
The health terrorism approach to change and problems associated with this approach?	41%		2.30
The science of the positive approach to change?	59%		2.55
Social norms and the social norms approach?	64%		2.61
Common misperceptions of social norms and how these influence behavior?	68%		2.66
The concepts of pluralistic ignorance and false consensus?	55%		2.48
How to use normative feedback in small groups and to provide individual feedback?	52%		2.45
How norm misperceptions influence bystander behavior?	55%		2.52
Bystander intervention theory and skills to real-life situations?	48%		2.32
How to practice bystander behavior intervention?	52%		2.39
The stages of social change and of prevention?	39%		2.25
	Yes	Somewhat	No
Was the information in this session presented clearly?	98%	2%	0%

Table 4**Learning Outcomes for Within My Reach (WMR) Workshops: FY16-20, FY21, & Total (FY16-21)**

To what extent did this workshop: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal			Average (Mean) Rating		
	FY16-20 N=2383	FY21 N=304	Total FY16-21 N=2687	FY16-20 N=2383	FY21 N=304	Total FY16-21 N=2687
Increase your knowledge of how to identify communication danger signs in relationships?	67%	74%	68%	2.59	2.70	2.60
Increase your knowledge of healthy communication techniques?	68%	73%	68%	2.62	2.68	2.62
Improve your ability to positively communicate with important people in your life?	68%	71%	68%	2.61	2.65	2.62
Improve your skills for effectively managing conflict?	57%	62%	57%	2.47	2.54	2.48
Increase your awareness of the difference between healthy and unhealthy relationships?	69%	75%	70%	2.61	2.69	2.61
Increase the confidence you have in yourself to have healthy relationships?	62%	71%	62%	2.52	2.64	2.53
Improve your knowledge of what you want in and from your relationships?	66%	74%	67%	2.58	2.65	2.59
Increase your knowledge of how to get help if you were in an unhealthy relationship?	62%	64%	62%	2.48	2.52	2.49
Increase your knowledge of the negative behavior patterns that are present in unhealthy relationships and the positive behavior patterns that promote healthy relationships?	65%	78%	67%	2.56	2.72	2.58

Table 5***Pre-test and Post-test Learning Outcomes for Safe Dates Workshops: FY19, FY20, & FY21***

<i>Safe Dates</i> True/False Knowledge Items	2019 (N=68) % of students with correct responses		2020 (N=31) % of students with correct responses		2021 (N=36) % of students with correct responses	
	Pre-test	Post-test	Pre-test	Post-test	Pre-test	Post-test
Emotional abuse can be just as serious as physical abuse.	100%	100%	90%	97%	97%	100%
Any forced sexual activity is sexual assault, even kissing.	92%	98%	55%	97%	94%	100%
Both females and males can abuse the people they date.	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	97%
Abuse usually goes away over time if you just ignore it.	100%	100%	90%	90%	100%	100%
Abuse may be used to control the way a person thinks, acts or feels.	91%	95%	90%	94%	92%	97%
Sometimes a person's response to anger is uncontrollable.	46%	66%	16%	77%	12%	100%
Conflict will occur in all relationships.	80%	89%	68%	97%	72%	89%
Holding expectations of dating partners based on their gender can sometimes lead to abuse.	80%	89%	68%	100%	78%	94%
Both males and females can be victims of dating abuse.	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Date and acquaintance rape victims are most often teenagers.	78%	83%	48%	90%	81%	100%

Table 6

Learning Outcomes for Community Outreach Workshops, Intimate Partner Violence: Universal Education & The General Safety Card: FY16-20, FY21, & Total (FY16-21)

To what extent did this presentation/training: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal			Average (Mean) Rating		
	FY16-20 N=989	FY21 N=137	Total FY16-21 N=1126	FY16-20 N=989	FY21 N=137	Total FY16-21 N=1126
Increase your awareness and knowledge of intimate partner violence (IPV)?	80%	62%	78%	2.77	2.55	2.74
Increase your knowledge of the effects of IPV on physical and behavioral health?	80%	63%	78%	2.78	2.57	2.75
Increase your knowledge of best practices for IPV screening?	81%	61%	78%	2.79	2.55	2.76
Increase your knowledge of how to make a “warm” referral?	81%	66%	79%	2.80	2.59	2.77
After today’s presentation/training, how likely are you to: (0=not at all, 1=slightly, 2=moderately, 3=very)	% Reporting 3 = Very			Average (Mean) Rating		
	FY16-20	FY21	Total FY16-21	FY16-20	FY21	Total FY16-21
Regularly implement IPV screening into your work with clients/patients?	63%	54%	62%	2.60	2.43	2.58
Use a safety card when talking about IPV with a client/patient?	63%	51%	62%	2.59	2.31	2.55
Make a warm referral?	72%	56%	70%	2.69	2.40	2.66

Table 7**Learning Outcomes for Community Outreach Workshops, Focus on Adolescent Relationships Abuse: Universal Education & The Safety Card: FY18-20, FY21, & Total (FY18-21)**

To what extent did this presentation/training: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal			Average (Mean) Rating		
	FY18-FY20 N=197	FY21 N=50	Total FY18-21 N=247	FY18-FY20 N=197	FY21 N=50	Total FY18-21 N=247
Increase your awareness and knowledge of adolescent relationships abuse (ARA)?	75%	74%	75%	2.69	2.62	2.68
Increase your knowledge of the impact ARA has on adolescents?	71%	72%	71%	2.66	2.60	2.66
Increase your knowledge of best practices for universal education for ARA?	70%	80%	72%	2.66	2.72	2.66
Increase your knowledge of how to make a warm referral?	72%	64%	70%	2.67	2.60	2.67
After today's presentation/training, how likely are you to: (0=not at all, 1=slightly, 2=moderately, 3=very)	% Reporting 3 = Very			Average (Mean) Rating		
	FY18-20	FY21	Total FY18-21	FY16-20	FY21	Total FY16-21
Regularly implement universal education into your work with adolescents?	51%	67%	54%	2.36	2.65	2.36
Use a safety card when talking about ARA with adolescents?	52%	68%	55%	2.40	2.66	2.40
Make a warm referral?	65%	77%	67%	2.55	2.77	2.55

Table 8**Learning Outcomes for Community Outreach Workshops, Intimate Partner Violence: A Community Awareness & Outreach Workshop: FY16-20, FY21, & Total (FY16-21)**

To what extent did this presentation/training: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal			Average (Mean) Rating		
	FY16-20 N=707	FY21 N=27	Total FY16-21 N=734	FY16-20 N=707	FY21 N=27	Total FY16-21 N=734
Increase your awareness and knowledge of intimate partner violence (IPV)?	79%	89%	79%	2.76	2.89	2.77
Increase your knowledge of the prevalence of IPV?	79%	81%	80%	2.77	2.81	2.78
Increase your knowledge of the effects of IPV on victims?	73%	81%	73%	2.69	2.81	2.70
Increase your knowledge of how to respond to disclosures of IPV and how to support victims?	75%	85%	76%	2.73	2.85	2.73
Increase your knowledge of IPV resources and service providers?	79%	89%	79%	2.76	2.89	2.76
How confident are you that you have the information needed to respond effectively to someone who has disclosed IPV?	69%	44%	68%	2.66	2.44	2.65

Table 9**Learning Outcomes for Community Outreach Workshops, Human Trafficking and Exploitation: A Community Response Workshop: FY21**

To what extent did this training increase your awareness and knowledge of: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=62	Average (Mean) Rating N=62
Labor trafficking, commercial sexual exploitation, sex trafficking, and related violence?	76%	2.76
Laws and definitions of trafficking?	63%	2.56
Ways trauma affects victims and needed wrap around services?	65%	2.63
The role community members have in prevention and awareness?	66%	2.66
The ways to report and support community efforts?	60%	2.56

Table 10***Learning Outcomes for Community Outreach Workshops, CAWS Sponsored Workshop on Stigma: FY21***

To what extent did this training increase your awareness and knowledge of: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=49	Average (Mean) Rating N=49
How stigmatization reduces help-seeking behaviors?	59%	2.49
Different types of stigma?	63%	2.55
Access challenges in rural communities?	61%	2.53

Table 11***Learning Outcomes for Community Outreach Workshops, CAWS Sponsored Workshop on Medical Advocacy: FY21***

To what extent did this training increase your awareness and knowledge of: (0=not at all, 1=a little, 2=somewhat, 3=a great deal)	% Reporting 3 = A Great Deal N=76	Average (Mean) Rating N=76
The impacts leaving an abusive relationship has on IPV victims?	45%	2.30
Trauma-bonding and how it can affect recovering and healing?	62%	2.51
Creating a culture of safety and wellness for victims and survivors of IPV through medical advocacy?	56%	2.45

Appendix C

FY 2021 WMR and Community Outreach Workshops, Evaluation Mean Ratings: By Communities & Total

Within My Reach Workshop (Table 4)

Location	N	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9
Bismarck	214	2.70	2.67	2.64	2.54	2.70	2.61	2.65	2.48	2.73
Lisbon	50	2.55	2.67	2.60	2.33	2.57	3.00	2.47	2.53	2.57
Valley City	33	2.85	2.70	2.79	2.63	2.76	2.73	2.82	2.64	2.75
Williston	7	3.00	3.00	2.86	2.86	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
All Locations	304	2.70	2.68	2.65	2.54	2.69	2.64	2.65	2.52	2.72

Community Outreach Workshop – Intimate Partner Violence: Universal Education & The Safety Card (Table 6)

Location	N	Awareness of IPV	Know Effects	Best Practices	Warm Referral	Regularly Implement	Safety Card	Make Referral
Bismarck*	53	2.64	2.69	2.68	2.69	2.56	2.33	2.48
Devils Lake	78	2.47	2.46	2.45	2.50	2.34	2.28	2.33
Oakes	6	2.83	3.00	2.67	2.83	2.33	2.50	2.50
All Locations	137	2.72	2.75	2.80	2.81	2.57	2.62	2.67

*Not reported, Bismarck had an additional 7 evaluations for a Workplace Response to IPV.

Community Outreach Workshop – Adolescent Relationships Abuse: Universal Education & The Safety Card (Table 7)

Location	N	Awareness of ARA	Know Effects	Best Practices	Warm Referral	Regularly Implement	Safety Card	Make Referral
Bismarck	50	2.62	2.60	2.72	2.60	2.65	2.66	2.77

Community Outreach Workshop – Intimate Partner Violence: A Community Awareness & Outreach Workshop (Table 8)

Location	N	Awareness of IPV	Know Prev	Know Effects	Know Support	Know Resources	Confidence
Bismarck	27	2.89	2.81	2.81	2.85	2.89	2.44

Community Outreach Workshop – Human Trafficking & Exploitation: A Community Response (Table 9)

Location	N	Awareness of Trafficking	Laws & Definitions	Trauma Effects	Role of Community Members	Community Efforts
Bismarck	62	2.76	2.56	2.63	2.66	2.56

CAWS-Stigma (Table 10)

Location	N	Stigma and Help-Seeking	Types of Stigma	Rural Access Challenges
Bismarck	27	2.48	2.44	2.59
Carrington	7	2.57	2.57	2.86
Dickinson	13	2.38	2.77	2.15
Williston	2	3.00	2.50	3.00
All Locations	49	2.49	2.55	2.53

CAWS-Medical Advocacy (Table 11)

Location	N	Impact of Leaving	Trauma Bonding	Culture of Safety
Bismarck	29	2.31	2.45	2.41
Carrington	12	2.08	2.58	2.42
Dickinson	16	2.13	2.44	2.44
Lisbon	12	2.50	2.50	2.42
Oakes	7	2.71	2.86	2.83
All Locations	76	2.30	2.51	2.45